



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/316,040	05/21/1999	PHILIP W GILLIS	2925-0224/GT	7281
30594 7	1590 05/21/2002			
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			DAS, CHAMELI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2122	
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

Application No. 09/316,040

Applicant(s)

Gillis

Advisory Action

Examiner

Chameli Das

Art Unit 2122



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -			
THE REPLY FILED <u>Apr 25, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a tipular allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appear	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. void the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final mely filed amendment which places the application in condition for all fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination			
(RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check only a) or b)]			
The region for reply expires 3 months from	the mailing date of the final rejection.			
 In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OF is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period 	within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever if for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final			
extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of date appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if set in the final office is a set for a very if timely filed, may red	The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate mining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on	Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
 The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon requisite fees. 	the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with			
The expended amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);				
See NOT	E below):			
they are not deemed to place the application in	better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the			
issues for appeal; and/or $(d) \square$ they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding humber of thially rojected olame.			
NOTE:				
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):			
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	would be allowable if submitted in a			
the discontinuity of the second ment cancelling the	non-allowable claim(s).			
6. The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) □ requiapplication in condition for allowance because: See attached.	est for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the			
by the Evaminer in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised			
8. X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim	s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim/a) chiected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-65</u>	The state of the s			
9. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on	a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner			
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
11. Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/316,040

16,040

Page

Art Unit: 2122

1. This action is in response to the reconsideration after final filed on 4/25/02.

2. In reconsideration filed on 2/19/02, the applicant did not argue about claims 16, 55-56

and 62. Therefore, the examiner did not mention about the rejection of those claims in

final action and maintained the same rejections for those claims as in first office action.

3. The applicant argued that Batch et al fails to teach a user interface which is adapted to

prompt input of process steps and potential selections associated with each of the process

steps.

As noted in the last office action, Batch et al teach prompting input of process steps is

shown in column 4 line 50-67. Applicant argued that these are input answers, not input

questions (page 4 line 11). Independent claims do not recite any thing about input

questions. Column 4 line 60-65 shows that plurality of potential selectins associated with

the process steps as claimed.

CDAS

5/14/02

GREGORY MORSE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100